

Remarks

The Advisory Action mailed 20 July 2007 maintains all rejections of all claims. With this paper, various of the claims are changed as described below, but no claims are canceled. Thus, the application still includes claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-11, and 14-24, of which claims 1, 14-16, 19 and 22 are independent.

In maintaining the rejections of claims 1 and 14-16, the Advisory Action states that applicant is relying on features not recited, and in particular, "the specificities of how the information [on registration] is indexed or how it is searched." Also, the Advisory Action complains that applicant has asserted that registration includes "storing" information on an operator network but claims 1 and 14-16 recite "signaling" information to an operator network. The Advisory Action also asserts that claim 15 is still rejected as directed to non-statutory subject matter because "There is no recitation of a wireless terminal in the body of the claim."

The changes made to claims 1 and 14-16 by this paper are believed responsive to the assertions made in the Advisory Action. Changes are also made to claims 19 and 22 corresponding to those made to claims 1 and 14-16. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that all the rejections be withdrawn.

Support for the changes regarding registering an application is provided by the claims already on file (e.g. the last element of claim 1), and for the recitation of "signaling to the operator network for storing by the operator network," applicant respectfully submits that such storing is inherent in what has already been recited in the claims, in that an operator network necessarily stores a signal in the act of receiving it.

Support for the recitation of locating any registration information for the application using the identifier of the application and the user identifier is provided in the application at page 10, line 10, which provides that:

the operator network 18 includes a user information server 13 that in turn hosts a data store 13a holding a list of applications listed by application identifier activated for each user, with the user indicated by the user identifier.

It is respectfully submitted that this describes a data store holding registration information indexed by application identifier and also user identifier, so that an locating of such information would be based on both the application identifier and user identifier.

For the foregoing reasons it is believed that all of the claims of the application are in condition for allowance and their passage to issue is earnestly solicited.

The claims are also changed so as not to necessarily require that the user identifier by stored only in a subscriber identify module, but could instead by stored elsewhere within the wireless terminal. The application at page 9, line 29, provides only that, "The identifier of the user is preferably based on SIM (subscriber identity module) identifier information, such as for example IMSI (international mobile subscriber identifier), MSISDN (mobile subscriber ISDN (integrated services digital network) number), etc." [Emphasis added.] Thus, the invention contemplates storing the user identifier not only in a SIM (subscriber identity module), but also elsewhere within a wireless terminal.

If the Examiner is of a mind not to issue a Notice of Allowance with the claims as provided by this paper, applicant's attorney requests a telephone interview with the Examiner in


order to discuss the changes made by this paper, in respect to the art applied in the final Office action.

1 August 2007

Date

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224
Monroe, CT 06468-0224

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Retter

Registration No. 41,266

tel: (203) 261-1234

Cust. No.: 004955